Lecture 2. Smooth implosion of the compressible flow I.

In this lecture, we'd like to give a brief introduction to the work by Merk-Rophael-Rodnianeki - Szefiel, which give a construction of smooth implosion formation for the 30 Navier-Stokes equation under particular settings. Before we done into the discussion of details, it is proper to include the history and related works about this topic.

As the Millennium problem point out, we concern about the development of the flow emerged from regular data: regularity will it always exists in the long run or blow up in the finite time?

important works from regularity side:

- D Matsumura Nishida (1980): no vaccum + small penturboting + 3D global existence.
- Huang-Li: vaccuu { small data + 3D global existence Large date + 2D (kazhihov) global existence.

important works from singularity side: as we emphasize before, the singular pheonomon of PDE is much richer than the ODE because of the diversifiel topology. Particularly, for the blowup for c<sup>oo</sup> data of compressible flow, there are two case we care most: y shock-type singularity: "ull2° ≤ C, "Ductul 2° → 0. ① shock formation: Christodonlon (07) → Luk-speck (18) → Buckmaster-Shkoller-Viol (19-22) 20 → 30, isentropic → fine, zero vorticity → non trivial vorticity 3 Shuck development: ~. 2) implosion-type singularity: 11 utt> 11 20 → 00 as t → T. (non-smooth) imploding shock wave Euler. 1) Curderley (1948) date with strongly vornishing property, Compacted Supported Lata 2 Xin (1998). and no insight for the singular nature. Rozonwa (2006). rapidy decaying data isolated mass group data Yan (2015) smooth implusion from data with lweak decay). O MRRS (2019) () Buckmaster (2022) data with constant density Lusing Rieman invariant from shock theory)

The method to construct smooth implosion is quite different from the former works by Xin, which provide a contradiction argument, but MSSR gives precise depiction for the implosion formation. And they goes under a routime developed for nontineau singularity (which fields they mainly works in)-Though complicate, such method can be decompose into following three part:

## Remark:

Deve problems deserves to consider: particularly d=3. Y= ± (respond to H2, No etc.).
 the result is not perfect: not all Y>1 are considered (some of them are devegerated).
 can we remove the varnieting restriction? (Done by Bucknesser).
 can we apply the method to other models? (such as FNS, 2D kashihov model, MHD).
 Vaccum problem: The intrial data is not allowed vacuum, will it occurs in the long run?
 And if vacuum is allowed, will the singularity happens?
 (twis may require a combination of the classical (Hueng-Li) and new (MRRS) method, indeed, the regularity structure (such as effective viscous flow) is not considered in this paper, can we obtain better results if it is considered?).

2. Now does the schoolinger equation limit to the complessible flow.  
Via the Madelung transform: 
$$u(t, \pi) = d p e i \phi$$
,  $\leftarrow$  stream function.  
let  $v = \nabla \phi$ , then  $\begin{cases} \partial t p + \nabla \cdot (ev) = 0, \\ \partial t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla (k p^{\frac{p+1}{2}}) = \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{p}}{2\sqrt{p}}\right). \end{cases}$ 

Illustration of the method: Singular structure.

Roughly saying, the kernel singular structure exhibit in its Fuler regime, where the viscous part is treated as a low-order perturbation for such singular structure.

(twis belongs to the argument of stability (linear or nonlinear) of this singular structure).

what is the singular structure of Euler regime?

A smooth spherically and radially self-similar bloov psolution for the Euler flow (developed from particular set of smooth data). This is exactly the nork of the first paper, which mornly use the idea of renormalization, local linearization, the planar phase portrait and semiclassical analysis. (Furthermore, with the auxiliary of numerical mathed).

Self-similarity: scaling invariance and critical index.

We consider the following nonlinear PDE:

defocusing heat equation:  $Ut = \Delta U - WP^{-1}U$ , we set scaling  $U_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} U(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}, \frac{\pi}{\lambda}), R = \frac{2}{P^{-1}}$ , such that: if U is a solution of NLH, so does  $U_{\lambda}$ . A nautral energy balance is given by  $E(U) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla U|^{2} + \frac{1}{P^{+1}} \int |U|^{P^{+1}} = E(U_{0})$ .

Consequence, we seek for a critical index such that  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}\mathcal{K}(1)\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}}{\|\nabla^{S_c}u_{\lambda}\|_{L^2}^2} = \|\nabla^{S_c}u\|_{L^2}^2 \text{ (so that us does not blow up as } \lambda \to 0).$   $S_c = \frac{d}{P-1} - \frac{2}{P-1} \text{ (depends on the dimension and nonlinearity).}$ 

And the global existence happens if we have  $S_{c} < |$ . (which implies  $||\nabla^{S_{c}} u_{\lambda}||_{c}^{2}$  does not blow up). We call this system is subcritical / critical / supercritical if  $S_{c} < |/S_{c} = |/S_{c} > |$ . Moreover, we say it is a self-similar solution if  $u = u_{\lambda}$  for any  $(t, \lambda)$ . In this case, the solution is totally determined by its profile at the unit time:  $\int profile.$ 

$$u(t, \chi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{m}} u(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}, \frac{2}{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{t^{m}}} u(1, \frac{2}{t^{m}}) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{t^{m}}} \hat{u}(\frac{2}{t^{m}}), \quad \hat{u}(z) = u(1, z)$$

we can draw the graph of setf-similar variable: